ORIGINAL

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20554

AUG 1 0 1995

In the Metter of	`	FEDERAL CONTRACT
In the Matter of	)	OFFILE OF SOME
Deferral of Licensing of MTA	)	GN Docket No. 93-253
Commercial Broadband PCS	)	ET Docket No. 92-100

To: The Commission

# DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

#### **OPPOSITION TO APPLICATION FOR REVIEW**

BellSouth Personal Communications, Inc. ("BPCI") hereby opposes the "Application for Review" filed by the National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters, Percy E. Sutton, and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (collectively "Petitioners") of an *Order* denying their request that the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau ("Bureau") stay MTA PCS licensing. *Order*, DA 95-1410 (W.T.B. June 23, 1995).

The Application for Review should be dismissed because it is an untimely petition for reconsideration of Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, Competitive Bidding, PP Docket No. 93-253, Fifth Report and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 5532, recon., Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 6858 (1994). Additionally, Petitioners have failed to meet the requirements for a stay: they are unlikely to succeed on the merits of their Application for Review; they are not irreparably harmed by the Order; a stay would result in substantial harm to others; and the public interest would not be served by grant of the stay. See Virginia Petroleum Jobbers Ass'n v. FPC, 259 F.2d 921, 925 (D.C. Cir. 1958); Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Comm'n v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F.2d 841, 843 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

No. of Copies rec'd Copies rec'

#### I. STATEMENT OF INTEREST

BPCI was a participant in the Block A and B PCS auctions and was the high bidder for the Charlotte-Greensboro-Greenville-Raleigh (Market 06) and Knoxville (Market 44) MTAs. As an auction winner, BPCI timely submitted Form 600s requesting authorization to provide PCS service in these MTAs. These applications were granted in June 23, 1995. Deferral of Licensing of MTA Commercial Broadband PCS, PP Docket No. 93-253, Order, DA 94-1410. BPCI paid more than \$82,056,001 for its two PCS licenses.

# II. THE APPLICATION FOR REVIEW SHOULD BE DISMISSED AS AN UNTIMELY PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE FIFTH REPORT AND ORDER IN THE AUCTION DOCKET

Petitioners argue that the Commission should defer Broadband A and B Block PCS licensing because of the substantial headstart these licensees will have over C Block licensees. See Application for Review and Request for Stay ("Petition"), filed May 12, 1995, at 10, 17-19; Application for Review ("Application"), filed July 21, 1995, at 10, 15-16. Specifically, Petitioners assert that designated entities will lose access to capital, distributors, and retailers and also will be unable to obtain prime base station cell sites. Petition at 18-19; Application at 15-16. These issues were previously rejected by the Commission and the deadline for reconsideration has lapsed. See Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, Competitive Bidding, PP Docket No. 93-253, Fifth Report and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 5532, 5546-48, recon., Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 6858, 6863-64 (1994).

Further, Petitioners argue that a stay is warranted because the Commission violated its duty under Section 309(j) by not providing minority preferences in all PCS Blocks. Petitioners fully acknowledge that they previously presented this argument to the Commission, which

rejected it in the Fifth Report and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. at 5536. Petition at 17. The Commission again rejected this argument on reconsideration. See Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, Competitive Bidding, PP Docket No. 93-253, Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd. 403, 414-15 (1994).

## II. PETITIONERS FAIL TO SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A STAY

Not only is the Application for Review an untimely attempt to get reconsideration of the Fifth Report and Order in PP Docket No. 93-253, it also fails to satisfy the four pronged test for a stay. Under this test, Petitioners must show that (1) they are likely to prevail on the merits; (2) they will suffer irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; (3) other interested parties will not be harmed if the stay is granted; and (4) the public interest favors grant of the stay. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F.2d at 843; Virginia Petroleum Jobbers, 259 F.2d at 925.

# A. Petitioners Are Unlikely to Prevail on the Merits

Petitioners claim that they are likely to prevail on the merits because licensing the A and B Blocks prior to conducting auctions for the C Blocks would violate Section 309(j) of the Communications Act. Petition at 16; Application at 17-19. Petitioners misconstrue Section 309(j).

Section 309(j) requires the Commission, in designing auction methodologies, to consider and balance the following objectives: (1) the development and rapid deployment of new technologies, such as PCS, without administrative and judicial delays; (2) the promotion of economic opportunity and competition by avoiding excessive concentration of licenses; (3) efficient and intensive use of the electromagnetic spectrum; and (4) recovery of value for the spectrum. See 47 U.S.C. § 309(j)(3). Nothing in the statute requires the FCC to give more

weight to one of the objectives over another. In fact, by proceeding with the A and B Block auctions, the Commission has furthered three of the four objectives: rapid deployment; promoting efficient and intensive use of the spectrum; and recovery of value for the spectrum. *Id.; see Deferral of Licensing of MTA Commercial Broadband PCS*, GN Docket No. 93-253, *Order*, DA 95-806 (W.T.B. Apr. 12, 1995). Petitioners would upset this balanced result by elevating one of the Congressional objectives, promotion of economic opportunity, above all the others.

The Commission has already satisfied three of these statutory goals and will satisfy the final goal of promoting economic opportunity as soon as the C Block auctions commence.<sup>1</sup> The Bureau properly found that "the Commission's decision to proceed with the first phase of PCS licensing before subsequent auctions were conducted or scheduled demonstrates that it considered prompt licensing of PCS to be *paramount* even though the timing of future auctions remained unknown."<sup>2</sup> Grant of Petitioners' stay request would frustrate this objective.

The Commission clearly satisfied the statutory objectives, albeit not all at the same time. Moreover, a Commission decision to defer A and B Block licensing until minority enterprises were able to take advantage of the C Block auctions, this decision would likely be unconstitutional under Adarand Contractors, Inc. v. Peña, 115 S.Ct. 2097 (1995). Because the statute must be construed in a constitutional manner, the Commission has already changed its rules to facilitate the entry of minorities, women, and small businesses in a manner that does not include race or gender preferences. Moreover, it is questionable whether the Commission could defer

Although small businesses, women, and minorities were not given preferences in the A and B Blocks, there was economic opportunity because of open eligibility.

Order, DA 95-806, at 3 (released Apr. 12, 1995) (emphasis added).

licensing of the A and B blocks simply to equalize the competitive position of smaller companies (including companies owned by women and minorities). See Hawaiian Telephone Co. v. FCC, 498 F.2d 771 (D.C. Cir. 1974).<sup>3</sup>

## B. Petitioners Will Not Suffer Irreparable Harm

Petitioners claim that they will suffer irreparable harm if a stay is not granted because the A and B Block licenses will receive a headstart advantage. Petition at 10-11, 18-19. Petitioners submit no economic analysis, however, to support their claims. Further, the Commission has previously rejected the argument that PCS auctions be held simultaneously to prevent A and B Block licensees from receiving a headstart advantage. See Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, Competitive Bidding, PP Docket No. 93-253, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 6858, 6863-64 (1994). In fact, a headstart does not result in irreparable harm. McCaw, for example, became the largest cellular provider despite a headstart by wireline telephone companies. Finally, "mere injuries, however substantial, in terms of money, time and energy expended in the absence of a stay are not enough" to warrant extraordinary relief. Virginia Petroleum Jobbers, 259 F.2d at 925.4

## C. Interested Parties Will Be Harmed By Grant Of A Stay

If a stay is granted, A and B Block auction winners, such as BPCI, and their potential customers will clearly be harmed. These entities submitted approximately \$1.4 billion in

Nothing in the statute *requires* that licenses be awarded to women, minorities, and small businesses. In fact, such a requirement would be highly suspect under *Adarand*.

Petitioners recklessly speculate, without any evidentiary support, that they have been irreparably harmed by an unlawful territorial allocation by various auction participants. Petition at 11; Application at 11-12. Petitioners provide no concrete evidence to support this allegation, however.

deposits to the FCC prior to the Bureau's denial of the motion for stay. Subsequently, these entities submitted the full price for their licenses, totalling almost \$8 billion. None of this money earns interest. The delay associated with a stay will thus impose a direct economic penalty on these companies. Moreover, the A and B Block winners have made significant start-up investments that can not be recouped until the systems are placed in operation. Thus, a stay also would impose very substantial costs on these companies.

# D. Grant of A Stay Would Disserve The Public Interest

A stay would disserve the public interest because it would deny the public the prompt availability of new services that the A and B Block winners are preparing to offer. The very first public interest objective enunciated by the statute is "the development and *rapid deployment* of new technologies, products, and services for the benefit of the public, including those residing in rural areas, *without administrative and judicial delay.*" 47 U.S.C. § 309(j)(3)(A) (emphasis added). Any stay of the A and B Block auctions, which have already concluded, will contravene this Congressional objective.

Moreover, if the stay were granted, the Commission would be obligated to return almost \$8 billion in payments that the auction winners provided for their licenses. Depriving the treasury of this sum clearly would not serve the public interest.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, BPCI respectfully requests that the Application for Review and underlying request for stay be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By:

William B. Barfield
Jim O. Llewellyn
BellSouth Corporation
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610
(404) 249-4445

Charles P. Featherstun
David G. Richards
BellSouth Corporation
1133 21st Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

Bv

Michael Deuel Sullivan
Robert G. Kirk

Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn 1735 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, DC 20006

Their Attorneys

August 10, 1995

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

- I, Donna Crichlow, a secretary in the law firm of Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Opposition to Application for Review was served this 10th day of August, 1995 to the following by first class mail, postage prepaid:
- \* Chairman Reed Hundt
  Federal Communications Commission
  1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
  Washington, D.C. 20554
- \* Commissioner James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802 Washington, D.C. 20554
- \* Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 826 Washington, D.C. 20554
- \* Commissioner Rachelle Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 826 Washington, D.C. 20554
- \* Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

Jonathan D. Blake, Esq.
Kurt A. Wimmer, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044

William J. Franklin, Esq. William J. Franklin, Chartered 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006-3404

Philip L. Verveer
Jennifer A. Donaldson
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 - 21st Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-3384

Kenneth R. Cole Vice President Century Telephone Enterprises, Inc. 100 Century Park Drive Monroe, LA 71203

Ellen S. Deutsch
Jacqueline R. Kinney
Citizens Utilities Company
Post Office Box 340
8920 Emerald Park Drive, Suite C
Elk Grove, CA 95759-0340

John A. Malloy, General Counsel Jill Foehrkolb, Director of Legal Affairs Columbia PCS 201 N. Union Street, Suite 410 Alexandria, VA 22314 Joe D. Edge, Esq. Mark F. Dever, Esq. Drinker, Biddle & Reath 901 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005

Stephen G. Kraskin, Esq.
Sylvia Lesse, Esq.
Charles D. Cosson, Esq.
Kraskin & Associates
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20037

Gail L. Polivy GTE Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Thomas A. Karl President Karl Brothers, Inc. P.O. Box 53040 Fairbanks, AK 99711

Thomas J. Casey, Esq.
Jay L. Birnbaum, Esq.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 2005-2111

Joseph A. Belisle, Esq.
Karsten Amlie, Esq.
Leibowitz and Associates, PEA
One Southeast Third Avenue
Suite 1450
Miami, FL 33131

Cathleen A. Massey
Senior Regulatory Counsel
McCaw Cellular Communications
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Fourth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

W. Chris Blane
President
Metrex Communications Group, Inc.
Five Concourse Parkway
Suite 3100
Atlanta, GA 30328

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr., Esq. John A. Prendergast, Esq. Susan J. Bahr, Esq. Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Henry Solomon, Esq. Amelia Brown, Esq. Haley, Bader & Potts 4350 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 900 Arlington, VA 22203-1633

Mark J. Tauber, Esq.
Mark J. O'Connor, Esq.
Piper & Marbury
1200 - 19th Street, N.W.
Seventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

James L. Wurtz
Pacific Bell Mobile Services
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

David L. Nace, Esq.
Marci E. Greenstein, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez,
Chartered
1111 - 19th Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Patricia Diaz Dennis, Esq. Sullivan & Cromwell 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert H. Kyle President, Kycom, Inc. 96 Hillbrook Drive Portola Valley, CA 94028

Doris S. Freedman, Esq. Barry Pineles, Esq. Office of Advocacy United State Small Business Administration 409 Third Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert E. Levine, Esq.
Latrice Kirkland, Esq.
Mullin, Rhyne, Emmons
& Topel, P.C.
1225 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20036

Paul C. Besozzi, Esq. Besozzi, Gavin & Craven 1901 L Street, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Martin T. McCue, Esq. United State Telephone Association 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

George Y. Wheeler, Esq. Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark J. Golden, CAE
Vice President, Industry Affairs
Personal Communications Industry
Association
1019 - 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036-5105

Daniel C. Riker
President & CEO
DCR Communications, Inc.
2550 M Street, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20007

Encompass, Inc. Two Ravinia Drive, Suite 1205 Atlanta, GA 30346

Thomas A. Hart, Jr., Esq.
Michael Heningburg, Jr., Esq.
McManimon & Scotland
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20004

Curtis White Allied Communications Group, Inc. 4201 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 402 Washington, D.C. 20008-1158

William D. Jimerson Alliance Telecom, Inc. 34 Woodbine Road Pittsford, NY 15534

Melodie A. Virtue
American Women in Radio and
Television, Inc.
Haley, Bader & Potts
4350 N. Fairfax Drive
Suite 900
Arlington, VA 22203-1633

Timothy E. Welch, Esq. Hill & Welch 1330 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 113 Washington, D.C. 20036

Larry Irving
National Telecommunications and
Information Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

National Association of Minority Telecommunications Executives and Companies One Thomas Circle, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005 Daryl L. Avery
Public Service Commission of
the District of Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Peter J. Mitchell
Minority Business Enterprise Legal
Defense and Education Fund, Inc.
220 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 280
Washington, D.C. 20002

Veronica M. Ahern Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005

Joseph Profit, Sr., Chairman Communications International Wireless Corporation NAMTEC 521 Fifth Avenue, Suite 800 New York, NY 10017

American Portable
Telecommunications, Inc.
Attn: Rudolph H. Hornacek
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 400
Chicago, IL 60602

Ameritech Wireless Communications, Inc.
Attn: Evan B. Richards
30 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

AT&T Wireless PCS, Inc. Attn: Cathleen A. Massey 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Fourth Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Centennial Cellular Corporation c/o Richard Rubin Fleischman & Walsh, L.L.P. 1400 - 16th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Communications International Corporation Attn: Neil S. McKay 717 West Sprague Avenue Suite 1600 Spokane, WA 99204-0466

Cox Communications, Inc. Attn: Richard Kimsey 1400 Lake Hearn Drive, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30319

GCI Communications Corporation Attn: Richard P. Dewling 2550 Denali Street, Suite 1000 Anchorage, AK 99503-2781

GTE Macro Communications
Corporation
Attn: Regulatory
245 Perimeter Center Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30346

Pacific Telesis Mobile Services Attn: Mike Patrick 4420 Rosewood Drive Building 2, Fourth Floor Pleasanton, CA 94588

PCS PRIMECO, L.P. c/o AirTouch Communications, Inc. Attn: Kathleen Q. Abernathy 1818 N Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

PhillieCo., L.P. 9221 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114

Poka Lambro Telephone
Cooperative, Inc.
11.5 Miles North of Tahoka, TX
on U.S. 87
P.O. Box 1340
Tahoka, TX 79373-7234

Powertel PCS Partners, L.P. 421 Gilmer Avenue P.O. Box 657 Lanett, AL 36863

South Seas Satellite Communications Corporation 25 Stonington Road South Laguna, CA 92677

Southwestern Bell Mobile
Systems, Inc.
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252

Western PCS Corporation Attn: John W. Stanton 330 - 120th Avenue, N.E. Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

WirelessCo, L.P. 9221 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114

James L. Winston, Esq.
Rubin, Winston, Diercks,
Harris & Cooke
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Wade J. Henderson
Director, Washington Bureau
National Association for the
Advancement of Colored People
1025 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1120
Washington, D.C. 20005

Lois E. Wright, Esq.
Vice President and Corporate Counsel
Inner City Broadcasting
Corporation
Three Park Avenue, 40th Floor
New York, NY 10014

R. Michael Senkowski Katherine M. Holden Eric W. DeSilva Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 James P. Tuthill Betsy Stover Granger 4420 Rosewood Drive, Building 2 Fourth Floor Pleasanton, CA 94588

James L. Wurtz Margaret E. Garber 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Michael K. Kellogg Jeffrey A. Lamken Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 500 East Washington, D.C. 20005

Jay C. Keithley 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20036

W. Richard Morris 2330 Shawnee Mission Parkway Westwood, KS 66205

Cheryl A. Tritt
Joan E. Neal
Morrison & Foerster
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006

Joel S. Winnik
Marvin J. Diamond
Julie T. Barton
Hogan & Hartson
555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1109

Michael F. Morrone Michael R. Bennet Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Wayne Watts, Esq.
Carol Tacker, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems,
Inc.
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252

Louis Gurman
Doane F. Kiechel
Gurman, Blask & Freedman, Chartered
1400 Sixteenth Street, Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Donna M. Crichlow

<sup>\*</sup>Hand-Delivered.